

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/772,134	02/03/2004		Stephen Neal Hardy	11610.00093	4259
22908	7590	08/17/2006		EXAMINER	
	& WITCOFF	•	CHEN, JOSE V		
SUITE 3000	H WACKER D	RIVE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO,	IL 60606		3637		
				DATE MAILED: 08/17/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/772,134	HARDY, STEPHEN NEAL					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		José V. Chen	3637					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute teply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Ju	une 2006.						
,	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.							
	,—							
•	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims		•					
4)🖂	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-43</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>13-20, 23-32, 35-39</u> is/are rejected.							
7)🖂	Claim(s) <u>21,22,33,34 and 40-43</u> is/are objected to.							
8)[	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
Attachmen		A) 🗖 Interview Sweet-	(PTO 413)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate					
3) 🔲 Inforr	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)					

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 13-18, 23, 24, 25-30, 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Merl. The patent to Merl teaches structure as claimed including a product management display system, comprising a base (56) for operative coupling to a shelf, a divider (34) for dividing displayed merchandise into rows, wherein the divider extends outwardly from the base, and a pusher ((58), the pusher movable in a first direction, the pusher including a pusher face (130) that is extendable in a second direction from a first position to a second position, the pusher face is extendable in the second direction from the first position to one of a plurality of second positions, the pusher face is extendable in a second direction that is substantially perpendicular to the first direction, the pusher face is mounted to a pusher base, a biasing element (the slant). In response to applicant's remarks, pusher face(130) meets the limitation "including a pusher face that is extendable transversely relative to the track" and "slidably configured to extend substantially perpendicular to the track".

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/772,134 Page 3

Art Unit: 3637

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 19, 20, 31, 32, 36-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Merl in view of Spamer et al. The patent to Merl teaches structure substantially as claimed as discussed above including biasing means, the only difference being that the biasing means in a spring structure. However, the patent to Spamer et al teaches the use of providing a biasing structure in the form of a coil spring to provide a biasing structure for a pusher to be old. It would have been obvious and well within the level of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the structure of Merl to include a biasing coil spring since such structure is a conventional alternative structure used in the same intended purpose, thereby providing structure as claimed.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-12 are allowable over the prior art of record.

Claims 21, 22, 33, 34, 40-43 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 06/14/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to José V. Chen whose telephone number is (571)272-6865. The examiner can normally be reached on m-f,m-th 5:30am-3:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on (571)272-6867. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) of 571-272,1000

Jose V. Chen Primary Examiner Art Unit 3637

Chen/jvc 08-15-06